

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/788,648	Applicant(s) COOGLE, GREGORY	
	Examiner James M. Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

 (1) James M. Hewitt.

 (2) Yi Li.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 22 June 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

Haynes (US 4,403,654)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative and the Examiner developed language to incorporate into claim 10 as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to distinguish claim 10 from Haynes.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)